

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	ACR-1
SSHCP EIS/EIR EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.2 EIS/EIR Planning Area.....	ES-2
ES.3 Alternatives Considered and Alternatives Evaluated	ES-5
ES.4 Known Issues or Controversy.....	ES-8
ES.5 Summary of Environmental Consequences.....	ES-9
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION/PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1 SSHCP Overview.....	1-2
1.1.1 Geographic Scope of the EIS/EIR Planning Area.....	1-4
1.2 Overview of NEPA and CEQA	1-5
1.2.1 NEPA.....	1-5
1.2.2 CEQA	1-6
1.2.3 Joint Documentation	1-9
1.3 Purpose, Need, and Objectives.....	1-9
1.3.1 Background Information.....	1-10
1.3.2 Purpose and Need Statement.....	1-18
1.3.3 Objectives.....	1-18
1.4 EIS/EIR Scope and Content	1-20
1.4.1 Summary of EIS/EIR Scoping Process.....	1-20
1.4.2 Significant Issues Identified in Public Scoping	1-23
1.5 Decisions to be Made.....	1-24
1.5.1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.....	1-24
1.5.2 Sacramento County.....	1-26
1.5.3 Other Permit Applicants	1-27
1.5.4 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	1-28
1.5.5 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.....	1-31
1.5.6 California Department of Fish and Wildlife	1-33
1.5.7 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.....	1-34
1.6 Future Uses of the Final EIS/EIR Document.....	1-36
1.6.1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Future Uses of the EIS/EIR	1-37
1.6.2 Regional Water Quality Control Board future uses of the EIS/EIR	1-38
1.6.3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife Future Uses	1-39
1.6.4 The SSHCP Permit Applicants (Future SSHCP Permittees) Future Uses of the EIS/EIR.....	1-40
1.6.5 Third-Party Project Proponent Future Uses of the Final EIS/EIR.....	1-41

1.7 References Cited 1-44

CHAPTER 2 ALTERNATIVES, INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION/PROPOSED PROJECT 2-1

2.1 Approach to Developing Alternatives..... 2-1

2.1.1 Regulatory Framework for Developing Alternatives 2-1

2.1.2 How the SSHCP Development Process Has Considered Alternatives..... 2-4

2.1.3 Alternatives Considered..... 2-9

2.1.4 Alternative Formulation and Screening..... 2-10

2.1.5 Alternatives Considered and Not Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis..... 2-13

2.1.6 Alternatives Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis 2-20

2.2 No Action/No Project Alternative 2-23

2.2.1 Future Projects/Activities Likely Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 2-23

2.2.2 Expected Regulatory Environment Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 2-25

2.2.3 Loss of Natural Lands Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 2-35

2.2.4 Preservation of Natural Lands Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 2-39

2.2.5 Preserve Management and Monitoring Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 2-41

2.3 Proposed Action/Proposed Project 2-41

2.3.1 Expected Regulatory Environment Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project..... 2-42

2.3.2 Permit Term Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 2-47

2.3.3 Covered Activities and Loss of Natural Land Covers Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 2-48

2.3.4 Covered Species Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 2-55

2.3.5 Conservation Strategy under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 2-57

2.3.6 SSHCP Preserve Management and Monitoring Programs..... 2-124

2.3.7 SSHCP and ARP Implementation..... 2-125

2.4 Reduced Permit Term Alternative 2-126

2.4.1 Expected Regulatory Environment under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 2-127

2.4.2 Permit Term Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 2-132

2.4.3 Covered Activities/Projects Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 2-132

2.4.4	Covered Species Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative	2-133
2.4.5	Conservation Strategy Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative	2-134
2.4.6	Preserve Management and Monitoring Programs under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative	2-141
2.4.7	HCP Implementation.....	2-142
2.5	References Cited	2-142
CHAPTER 3 INTRODUCTION TO CHAPTERS 4 THROUGH 17		3-1
3.1	NEPA and CEQA Terms.....	3-1
3.2	Resource Topics Evaluated in the Remaining Chapters	3-2
3.3	Format and Content of Chapters 4 through 16	3-4
3.4	Previous Planning Area Environmental Reviews	3-5
3.4.1	Sacramento County General Plan.....	3-6
3.4.2	Galt General Plan	3-7
3.4.3	Rancho Cordova General Plan	3-8
3.4.4	Sacramento Area Council of Governments Metropolitan Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy	3-9
3.4.5	Capital Southeast Connector	3-9
3.5	Contents of the Affected Environment/ Environmental Setting Sections of Chapters 4 through 16.....	3-10
3.6	Contents of Environmental Consequences/Environmental Impact Sections in Chapters 4 through 16.....	3-11
3.6.1	EIS/EIR Environmental Baseline.....	3-12
3.6.2	Geographic Study Area of Resource Topics Analyzed in Chapters 4 through 16.....	3-14
3.6.3	EIS/EIR Study Period	3-15
3.6.4	Addressing Incomplete or Unavailable Information in Chapters 4 through 16.....	3-15
3.6.5	GIS Methodology Used in Chapters 4 through 16 to Estimate Direct Impacts of Each EIS/EIR Alternative	3-16
3.6.6	Methodology Used in Chapters 4–16 to Estimate Indirect Impacts of EIS/EIR Alternatives	3-18
3.6.7	Specific Assumptions Used in the Environmental Impact Analysis of Each EIS/EIR Alternative.....	3-23
3.7	Cumulative Effects Analysis in Resource Chapters 4 through 16	3-25
3.7.1	Past and Present Actions in the Planning Area.....	3-27
3.7.2	Reasonably Foreseeable Other Actions.....	3-33
3.8	Significance of Impacts That Are Presented in Chapters 4 through 16	3-38
3.8.1	Significance Thresholds.....	3-38

3.8.2	Impact Findings and Mitigation of Significant Impacts	3-39
3.9	References Cited	3-41
CHAPTER 4	LAND USE	4-1
4.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	4-1
4.1.1	Regulatory Framework	4-1
4.1.2	Existing Conditions.....	4-15
4.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	4-36
4.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Land Use.....	4-36
4.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	4-39
4.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project	4-45
4.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	4-53
4.3	References Cited	4-56
CHAPTER 5	SOILS, GEOLOGY, AND MINERAL RESOURCES.....	5-1
5.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	5-1
5.1.1	Regulatory Framework	5-1
5.1.2	Planning Area Geologic Environment Existing Conditions	5-9
5.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	5-37
5.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Soils, Geology, and Mineral Resources	5-37
5.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	5-41
5.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	5-47
5.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	5-52
5.3	References Cited	5-58
CHAPTER 6	AGRICULTURE.....	6-1
6.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	6-1
6.1.1	Regulatory Framework	6-1
6.1.2	Planning Area Agricultural Resources and Activities	6-9
6.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	6-14
6.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Agricultural Resources	6-14
6.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	6-16
6.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	6-22
6.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	6-27
6.3	References Cited	6-32
CHAPTER 7	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	7-1
7.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	7-1
7.1.1	Regulatory Framework	7-1

7.1.2	Existing Conditions.....	7-22
7.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	7-43
7.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Hydrology and Water Quality	7-43
7.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	7-47
7.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	7-63
7.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	7-73
7.3	References Cited	7-83
CHAPTER 8 NATURAL LAND COVER HABITATS AND ASSOCIATED PLANT AND ANIMAL COMMUNITIES		
		8-1
8.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	8-1
8.1.1	Regulatory Framework	8-1
8.1.2	Existing Conditions.....	8-13
8.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	8-36
8.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Natural Land Covers and Natural Communities	8-36
8.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	8-40
8.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	8-64
8.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	8-96
8.3	References Cited	8-128
CHAPTER 9 SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES INCLUDING HCP COVERED SPECIES.....		9-1
9.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	9-1
9.1.1	Regulatory Framework	9-1
9.1.2	Existing Condition of the Special-Status Species and Their Habitats	9-9
9.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	9-15
9.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Special-Status Species, Including SSHCP Covered Species	9-15
9.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	9-21
9.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	9-94
9.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	9-176
9.3	References Cited	9-253
CHAPTER 10 AQUATIC RESOURCES		10-1
10.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	10-1
10.1.1	Regulatory Framework	10-1
10.1.2	Existing Conditions of Aquatic Resources.....	10-9
10.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	10-35
10.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Aquatic Resources.....	10-35

10.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative	10-39
10.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative.....	10-48
10.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	10-59
10.3	References Cited	10-69
CHAPTER 11 PALEONTOLOGICAL, CULTURAL, AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES		11-1
11.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	11-1
11.1.1	Regulatory Framework	11-1
11.1.2	Existing Conditions of Paleontological, Cultural, and Historical Resources.....	11-16
11.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	11-26
11.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Paleontological, Cultural, and Historical Resources	11-26
11.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative.....	11-29
11.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	11-36
11.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	11-40
11.3	References Cited	11-43
CHAPTER 12 PUBLIC SERVICES AND FACILITIES		12-1
12.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	12-1
12.1.1	Regulatory Framework	12-1
12.1.2	Planning Area Public Services and Facilities	12-17
12.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	12-28
12.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Public Services and Facilities.....	12-28
12.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative.....	12-32
12.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	12-48
12.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	12-64
12.3	References Cited	12-76
CHAPTER 13 TRAFFIC AND CIRCULATION		13-1
13.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	13-1
13.1.1	Regulatory Framework	13-1
13.1.2	Existing Traffic and Circulation	13-7
13.2	Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts	13-15
13.2.1	Methodology for Assessing Effects of Each Alternative on Traffic and Circulation	13-15
13.2.2	No Action/No Project Alternative.....	13-17
13.2.3	Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative	13-22
13.2.4	Reduced Permit Term Alternative	13-25
13.3	References Cited	13-28

CHAPTER 14 AIR QUALITY 14-1

14.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting 14-1

 14.1.1 Regulatory Framework 14-1

 14.1.2 Planning Area Air Quality Conditions..... 14-7

14.2 Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts 14-13

 14.2.1 Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on
 Air Quality 14-13

 14.2.2 No Action/No Project Alternative 14-17

 14.2.3 Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 14-26

 14.2.4 Reduced Permit Term Alternative 14-32

14.3 References Cited 14-39

CHAPTER 15 GREENHOUSE GASES AND CLIMATE CHANGE 15-1

15.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting 15-1

 15.1.1 Regulatory Framework 15-1

 15.1.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Consequences of Climate Change
 in the Planning Area..... 15-7

 15.1.3 Attributing Climate Change—Greenhouse Gas Emission Sources 15-9

 15.1.4 Effects of Climate Change on the Environment..... 15-9

15.2 Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts 15-12

 15.2.1 Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on
 Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change..... 15-12

 15.2.2 No Action/No Project Alternative 15-14

 15.2.3 Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 15-20

 15.2.4 Reduced Permit Term Alternative 15-24

15.3 References Cited 15-29

**CHAPTER 16 POPULATION AND HOUSING, SOCIOECONOMICS, AND
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 16-1**

16.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting 16-1

 16.1.1 Regulatory Framework 16-1

 16.1.2 Population and Socioeconomic Conditions in the Planning Area 16-6

16.2 Environmental Consequences/ Environmental Impacts 16-16

 16.2.1 Methodology for Assessing Impacts of Each Alternative on Population
 and Housing, Socioeconomics, and Environmental Justice 16-16

 16.2.2 No Action/No Project Alternative 16-18

 16.2.3 Proposed Action/Proposed Project 16-24

 16.2.4 Reduced Permit Term 16-26

16.3 References Cited 16-29

CHAPTER 17 OTHER REQUIRED ANALYSES FOR CEQA AND NEPA..... 17-1

17.1 Significant Effects That Cannot Be Avoided (CEQA and NEPA) 17-1

17.2 Potentially Significant Effects Which Could Be Avoided (CEQA) 17-1

17.3 Effects Found Not to Be Significant (CEQA)..... 17-2

17.4 Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the
Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity (NEPA) 17-2

17.5 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources (NEPA)/
Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes (CEQA)..... 17-4

17.6 Growth Inducement and Related Effects (CEQA) 17-6

17.7 Environmentally Preferable Alternative (NEPA) and Environmentally
Superior Alternative (CEQA) 17-6

17.8 Consistency with Executive Orders..... 17-8

17.8.1 Executive Order 11988 – Floodplain Management 17-8

17.8.2 Executive Order 11190 – Protection of Wetlands 17-8

17.8.3 Executive Order 12898 – Environmental Justice 17-9

17.9 References Cited 17-9

CHAPTER 18 LIST OF PREPARERS..... 18-1

**CHAPTER 19 SUMMARY OF COMMENTS RECEIVED AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS
ON THE DRAFT DOCUMENTS 19-1**

APPENDICES

A Summary of Cumulative Impacts to Waters of the United States in the Planning Area

A-1 Transmittal Letter from USACE

A-2 USACE Memorandum on Cumulative Impacts in the SSHCP Plan Area (9/4/2014)

A-3 Higher-Resolution Dataset; Projects from 1999 to 2013

A-4 Lower-Resolution Dataset; Projects from Beginning of Records to 1998

B Summary of Process to Determine the Scope of the EIS/EIR (Scoping)

C Draft Multi-Layered CWA 404 Permit Strategy for future SSHCP Covered Activities

C-1 Draft CWA 404 Permit Strategy

C-2 Draft Programmatic General Permit

C-3 Draft Letter of Permission Procedure

D Impact Avoidance and Minimization Measures (AMMs)

E Alternative Formulation and Screening

F CEQA Environmental Checklist Form

G Supporting Information for the Biological Resources Analyzed in Chapters 8 and 9

G-1 Environmental Stressors from Urban Development Projects and Activities

G-2 Selection of Special-Status Species to be Analyzed in this EIS/EIR

G-3 Species Accounts for Proposed Covered Species

G-4 Covered Species Habitat Models

H Modeling Data and Assumptions for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Analyses

I Draft SSHCP Aquatic Resources Program

J Draft Aquatic Resources Protection Ordinance

FIGURES

ES-1 SSHCP EIS/EIR Planning Area ES-3

1-1 South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan Area 1-7

1-2 Existing Preserves 1-15

2-1 Preserve Planning Units 2-21

2-2 Proposed Action/Proposed Project HCP Preserve System 2-119

2-3 Reduced Permit Term Alternative HCP Preserves 2-137

4-1 Jurisdictional Boundaries 4-3

4-2 Existing Land Use Designations 4-17

4-3 Mather Airport Wildlife Hazard Conditions 4-19

4-4 Franklin Field Wildlife Hazard Conditions 4-21

4-5 Sacramento Executive Airport Wildlife Hazard Conditions 4-23

4-6 Rancho Murieta Airport Wildlife Hazard Conditions 4-25

4-7 Eagle’s Nest Airport Wildlife Hazard Conditions 4-27

5-1 Geographic Landforms 5-11

5-2 Geologic Formations 5-15

5-3 Key Soil Series 5-17

5-4 Naturally Occurring Asbestos 5-31

5-5 MRZ-2 Designated Significant Mineral Deposits in the Planning Area 5-35

6-1 Important Farmlands within Study Area 6-11

6-2 Williamson Act Lands 6-17

7-1 Groundwater Subbasin Boundaries in the Water Forum Agreement 7-9

7-2 Groundwater Contamination Locations and Plumes in the Planning Area 7-27

7-3 Sacramento County Rivers and Streams and HUC 10 Watersheds Located in the
Planning Area 7-31

7-4 100-year Floodplain Areas – Sacramento County 7-35

8-1 Existing Distribution of Each Land Cover Within the Planning Area 8-15

8-2 Essential Connectivity Areas 8-33

10-1 Aquatic Resources in the Planning Area 10-13

10-2 HUC 10 Watersheds in the Planning Area 10-23

11-1 Cultural Resource Sensitivity in Sacramento County 11-21

12-1 Existing Public Recreation Sites 12-23

12-2 Planned Trails within the MCRA 12-57

13-1 Transportation System..... 13-3
 13-2 Existing Roadways within Unincorporated Sacramento County 13-9
 13-3 Existing Roadways within Galt 13-11
 13-4 Existing Roadways within Rancho Cordova 13-13
 13-5 Proposed Roadway Crossings through Expected Future Preserves 13-19
 16-1 Census Tracts in the Planning Area and Identification of Minority and
 Low-Income Populations 16-7

TABLES

ES-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation ES-11
 ES-2 Summary of Impacts to Special-Status Species, Including Covered Species ES-28
 2-1 Maximum Losses of Natural Land Categories Under the No Action/
 No Project Alternative..... 2-38
 2-2 Minimum Acres of New Preserves Under the No Action/No Project Alternative..... 2-39
 2-3 Covered Activities Included in Each Action Alternative Studied in the EIS/EIR..... 2-50
 2-4 Maximum Direct Impacts (Loss) of Natural Lands Under the Proposed Action/
 Proposed Project Alternative..... 2-54
 2-5 Planning Area Special-Status Species Included as Covered Species..... 2-55
 2-6 Comparison of AMMs Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative and
 the No Action/No Project Alternative..... 2-60
 2-7 Biological Goals and Measurable Objectives of the SSHCP Conservation Strategy 2-77
 2-8 Minimum Preservation of Natural Land Categories Under the Proposed Action/
 Proposed Project Alternative..... 2-116
 2-9 Local Planning Documents and Time Horizons Relevant to the Permit Term..... 2-127
 2-10 Maximum Loss of Natural Land Categories Under the Reduced Permit
 Term Alternative..... 2-133
 2-11 Minimum Acres of New Preserves Under the Reduced Permit
 Term Alternative 2-141
 3-1 Similar NEPA and CEQA Terms..... 3-1
 4-1 Acreage of Sacramento County, Galt, and Rancho Cordova within the EIS/EIR
 Planning Area 4-15
 4-2 Existing Land Use Designations within the Planning Area..... 4-30
 4-3 Changes to Existing Land Use Designations under the No Action/No
 Project Alternative 4-39
 4-4 Changes to Existing Land Use Designations under the Proposed Action/
 Proposed Project Alternative..... 4-46
 4-5 Changes in Existing Land Use Designation under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 4-53
 6-1 Important Farmland Mapping Categories 6-2

6-2 Important Farmland within the Planning Area 6-10

6-3 Impacts on Important Farmland Expected Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 6-16

6-4 Impacts on Important Farmland Expected Under the Proposed Action/
Proposed Project Alternative..... 6-23

6-5 Impacts on Important Farmland due to Covered Activities under the Reduced
Permit Term Alternative 6-28

7-1 Watersheds and Sub-Watersheds of the Planning Area 7-33

7-2 2010 CWA Section 303(d) Pollutants and Sources for the Planning Area 7-38

8-1a Total Acres of Each Land Cover Within the Planning Area 8-17

8-1b Acres of Each Natural Land Cover Already Preserved Within the Planning Area..... 8-18

8-2 Existing Vernal-Pool Ecosystem Land Covers in the Planning Area..... 8-30

8-3 Acres of Essential Connectivity Areas (ECAs) Within the Planning Area 8-32

8-4 Acres of Direct Impacts to Each Natural Land Cover Under the No Action/
No Project Alternative 8-41

8-5 Expected Mitigation for Natural Land Cover Impacts Under the No Action/
No Project Alternative 8-43

8-6 Direct and Indirect Effects on the Vernal Pool Ecosystem Land Covers Expected
Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 8-46

8-7 Estimated Amounts of Vernal Pool Ecosystem Mitigation Implemented Under the
No Action/No Project Alternative..... 8-46

8-8 Expected Direct Impacts to Natural Land Covers – Proposed Action/Proposed
Project Alternative 8-66

8-9 Natural Land Cover Mitigation under the Proposed Action/ Proposed
Project Alternative..... 8-67

8-10 Direct and Indirect Effects on the Vernal Pool Ecosystem under the Proposed
Action/Proposed Project Alternative..... 8-68

8-11 Expected Mitigation for Vernal Pool Ecosystem impacts Under the Proposed
Action/Proposed Project Alternative..... 8-70

8-12 Expected Direct Impacts to Natural Land Covers – Reduced Permit Term Alternative 8-99

8-13 Natural Land Cover Mitigation under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 8-100

8-14 Direct and Indirect Effects on the Vernal Pool Ecosystem – Reduced Permit
Term Alternative 8-101

8-15 Expected Mitigation for Vernal Pool Ecosystem Impacts Under the Reduced
Permit Term Alternative 8-103

9-1 South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan Covered Species 9-10

9-2 Other Special-Status Plant Species Analyzed in Chapter 9..... 9-12

9-3 Other Special-Status Wildlife Species Analyzed in Chapter 9..... 9-14

9-4 Direct/Indirect Impacts and Conservation of Vernal Pool Invertebrate and
Plant Modeled Habitat under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-27

9-5 Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-30

9-6 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for California Tiger Salamander under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-33

9-7 Direct Effects and Preservation of Western Spadefoot Modeled Habitat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-37

9-8 Direct Effects and Conservation of Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-41

9-9 Direct Effects and of Modeled Habitat for Western Pond Turtle Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-44

9-10 Direct Effects and Conservation of Cooper’s Hawk Modeled Habitat under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-48

9-11 Direct Effects and Conservation of Tricolored Blackbird Modeled Habitat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-51

9-12 Direct Loss and Conservation of Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-54

9-13 Direct Effects and Preservation of Ferruginous Hawk Modeled Habitat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-57

9-14 Direct Effects and Conservation of Swainson’s Hawk Modeled Habitat under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-60

9-15 Direct Effects and Conservation for Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-63

9-16 Direct Effects and Conservation of White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-66

9-17 Direct Effects and of Modeled Habitat for Greater Sandhill Crane Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-70

9-18 Direct Effects and Conservation of Modeled Habitat for Loggerhead Shrike Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-74

9-19 Direct Effects and Conservation of Modeled Habitat for Western Red Bat Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-82

9-20 Direct Effects and Conservation of Modeled Habitat for American Badger Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-85

9-21 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Sanford’s Arrowhead Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-87

9-22 Total Loss of Potentially Suitable Habitat for Other Special-status Plants Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 9-91

9-23 Direct/Indirect Impacts and Conservation of Vernal Pool Invertebrate and Plant Modeled Habitat under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-100

9-24 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Valley Elderberry
Longhorn Beetle Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-104

9-25 Direct Effects and Conservation of California Tiger Salamander Modeled
Habitat Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-108

9-26 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Spadefoot
Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-112

9-27 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Giant Garter Snake
Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-115

9-28 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Pond Turtle
Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-119

9-29 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Cooper’s Hawk Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-122

9-30 Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Tricolored Blackbird Under the
Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-126

9-31 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Burrowing Owl
Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-129

9-32 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Ferruginous Hawk Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-132

9-33 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Swainson’s Hawk Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-136

9-34 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Northern Harrier Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-139

9-35 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for White-Tailed Kite Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-142

9-36 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Greater Sandhill Crane Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-146

9-37 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Loggerhead Shrike Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-151

9-38 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Red Bat Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-162

9-39 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for American Badger Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-165

9-40 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Sanford’s Arrowhead Under
the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-168

9-41 Total Loss of Potentially Suitable Habitat for Other Special-Status Plants Under the
Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 9-172

9-42 Direct/Indirect Impacts and Conservation of Vernal Pool Invertebrate and
Plant Modeled Habitat Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative. 9-183

9-43 Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-187

9-44 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for California Tiger Salamander Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-190

9-45 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Spadefoot Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-194

9-46 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Giant Garter Snake Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-197

9-47 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Pond Turtle Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-200

9-48 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Cooper’s Hawk Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-203

9-49 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Tricolored Blackbird Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-206

9-50 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Burrowing Owl Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-209

9-51 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Ferruginous Hawk Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-212

9-52 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Swainson’s Hawk Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-215

9-53 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Northern Harrier Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-218

9-54 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for White-Tailed Kite Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-221

9-55 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Greater Sandhill Crane Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-224

9-56 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Loggerhead Shrike Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-229

9-57 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Western Red Bat Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-240

9-58 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for American Badger Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 9-243

9-59 Direct Effects and Modeled Habitat Conservation for Sanford’s Arrowhead Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-246

9-60 Direct Effects for Special-Status Plant Species Not Covered by the SSHCP Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative..... 9-250

10-1 Aquatic Land Covers within the Planning Area..... 10-10

10-2 Aquatic Resources by Watershed within the Planning Area 10-21

10-3 Functional Analysis Of Aquatic Resources in the Planning Area 10-27

10-4 Estimated Direct Loss of Aquatic Resources Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 10-42

10-5 Estimated Acres of Aquatic Resources Preserved Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 10-45

10-6 Estimated Acres of Aquatic Resources Re-Established/Established Under the No Action/No Project Alternative 10-46

10-7 Estimated Loss of Aquatic Resources Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 10-51

10-8 Estimated Acres of Aquatic Resources Preserved Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 10-54

10-9 Estimated Acres of Aquatic Resources Re-Established/Established Under the Proposed Action/Proposed Project Alternative 10-56

10-10 Estimated Loss of Aquatic Resources Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 10-62

10-11 Estimated Preservation of Aquatic Resources Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 10-63

10-12 Estimated Re-Establishment/Establishment of Aquatic Resources Under the Reduced Permit Term Alternative 10-67

11-1 Planning Area Historical Sites that are Currently Listed on the National Register of Historic Places or on the California Register of Historical Resources 11-24

11-2 Types of Cultural Resources Potentially Occurring in the Planning Area 11-25

12-1 Existing Regional Parks and Recreational Facilities 12-22

14-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards 14-3

14-2 Attainment Status Designations for the SVAB 14-12

14-3 Summary of Annual Sacramento Valley Air Basin Air Quality for Nonattainment Pollutants (2012–2014) 14-13

14-4 Federal *De Minimis* Levels 14-15

15-1 2005 Community GHG Emissions Inventory 15-9

16-1 Existing Populations of the Project Proponents and Inside the Planning Area 16-6

16-2 Race and Ethnicity Population Ratios for the Project Proponent Jurisdictions and Inside the Planning Area (2013) 16-11

16-3 2013 Race and Ethnicity Population Ratios by Planning Area Census Tract 16-11

16-4 Income and Poverty Conditions for the Project Proponents and in each Planning Area Census Tract (2013) 16-14

19-1 Comment Letters Numbered in Alphabetical Order 19-2

19-2 Final EIS/EIR Technical Edit/Change Log 19-245

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK